

## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

### LISTING OF CLAIMS IN THE CASE:

The following listing of claims replaces all previous listing of claims.

Claims 1-30. (Cancelled)

31. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for creating a web transaction test, the method comprising ~~the computer-implemented steps of:~~

sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said formatted information is used for entry of information pertaining to a web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test;

~~in response to sending said formatted information,~~ receiving a formatted message comprising said information pertaining to said web site; and

developing a test configuration file for testing said web site from said formatted message.

32. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, further comprising parsing said formatted information.

33. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 32, wherein the ~~step of~~ parsing is performed automatically.

34. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 32, wherein the step of parsing is performed manually.

35. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information comprises a testing form for parsing.

36. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said formatted message is a submission from said testing form.

37. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said testing form is based on a layout of [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

38. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 35, wherein said testing form contains at least one section that is required to be filled out from a list of available alternatives.

39. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

40. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on a

web server that is associated with [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

41. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 38, wherein said testing form contains at least one secondary section that is required to be filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives.

42. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 41, wherein said secondary list of available alternatives is influenced by which of said list of available alternatives is selected.

43. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are in HTML format.

44. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted message is in HTTP format.

45. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are directly communicated over a TCP/IP protocol network.

46. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames.

47. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein the step of developing said test configuration file is performed automatically.

48. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

49. (Previously Presented) The method as recited in claim 48 wherein said automatic scheduling includes scheduling window information and test repetition information.

50. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file provides data to post in a field parameter of [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

51. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 31, wherein said test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML

data received from [[a]] said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

52. (Currently Amended) The method as recited in claim 31, further comprising ~~the steps of:~~

creating a second formatted information based on said test configuration file;

sending said second formatted information to said web browser;

in response to said sending said second formatted information, receiving a second formatted message; and

updating said test configuration file based on said second formatted message.

53. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for creating a web transaction test, the method comprising ~~the computer implemented steps of:~~

sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said formatted information ~~comprises a testing form~~ is based on a layout of a web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test;

~~said testing form containing at least one section that is required to be filled out from a list of available alternatives;~~

~~in response to sending said formatted information, receiving a~~  
formatted message ~~[[as]]~~ that is based on said formatted information ~~a~~  
submission from said testing form; and

automatically developing a test configuration file from said  
formatted message, said test configuration file for testing said web site.

54. (Currently Amended) A computer-implemented method for  
creating a web transaction test, the method comprising ~~the computer~~  
~~implemented steps of:~~

sending formatted information to a web browser, wherein said  
formatted information comprises a testing form, and wherein said testing  
form comprises:

~~contains~~ at least one primary section that is required to be  
filled out from a primary list of available alternatives; and

~~contains~~ at least one secondary section that is required to be  
filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives;

wherein said primary list of available alternatives and said  
secondary list of available alternatives are influenced by  
information contained on a web site that is to be tested by said web  
transaction test;

in response to said sending said formatted information: ~~[[,]]~~

~~performing the steps of:~~

parsing the testing form;

receiving a formatted message as a submission from said testing form; and

automatically developing a test configuration file from said formatted message, wherein said test configuration file:

provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames; and

comprises an automatic scheduling for testing the web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

55. (Currently Amended) A system for creating a web transaction test, the system comprising:

~~a network;~~

a web server ~~that is connected to said network and that is~~ hosting a web site; and

a computer ~~that is connected~~ coupled to said ~~network~~ web server and having memory and a processor, said computer ~~[[is]]~~ operable to:

send formatted information that is used for entry of web site information to a web browser;

receive a formatted message comprising said web site information in response to sending said formatted information; and

develop ~~said~~ a test configuration file from said formatted message, wherein said test configuration file is for performing said web transaction test for testing said web site.

56. (Currently Amended) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said computer system is further operable to parse ~~comprising parsing~~ said formatted information.

57-58 (Cancelled)

59. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information comprises a testing form for parsing.

60. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said formatted message is a submission from said testing form.

61. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said testing form is based on a layout of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

62. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 59, wherein said testing form contains at least one section that is required to be filled out from a list of available alternatives.



63. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

64. (Currently Amended) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said list of available alternatives is influenced by information contained on said web server hosting ~~that is associated with~~ said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

65. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 62, wherein said testing form contains at least one secondary section that is required to be filled out from a secondary list of available alternatives.

66. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 65, wherein said secondary list of available alternatives is influenced by which of said list of available alternatives is selected.

67. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are in HTML format.

68. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted message is in HTTP format.

69. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said formatted information and said formatted message are communicated over a TCP/IP protocol network.

70. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file provides a plurality of tests selected from a group comprising a test for URLs, a test for links, a test for form buttons, and a test for frames.

71. (Cancelled)

72. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file comprises an automatic scheduling for testing said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

73. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 72 wherein said automatic scheduling includes scheduling window information and test repetition information.

74. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file provides data to post in a field parameter of said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test.

75. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said test configuration file includes comparison data used for comparing HTML data received from said web site that is to be tested by said web transaction test with a predetermined criteria.

76. (Previously Presented) The system as recited in claim 55, wherein said computer is further operable to:

- create a second formatted information based on said configuration file;

- send said second formatted information to said web browser;

- receive a second formatted message in response to sending said second formatted information; and

- update said test configuration file based on said second formatted message.

77. (Currently Amended) A system for testing a web based medium, the system comprising:

- a testing center that generates a test for said web based medium;

- and

a network coupling said web based medium to said testing center,  
wherein said test is transmitted from said testing center to said web based  
medium over said network;

wherein said test is parsed based on information contained on said  
web based medium;

wherein at least one section of said test is filled out from a  
predetermined list;

wherein said predetermined list is created at least in part based on  
information contained on said web based medium; and

wherein once said at least one section of said test is filled out  
completed, said filled out test is returned to said testing center and used to  
create a test configuration file.